

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,321 08/01/2003		Joseph C. Sanda JR.	MIC-103 (P50-0082) 5222		
27215	7590	07/07/2006		EXAM	INER
MICHELIN	NORTH	I AMERICA, INC	POULOS, SANDRA K		
515 MICHEL	JIN ROAL	D			
P.O. BOX 2026				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CREENVILLE SC 20602				1714	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Asticus Ossesses	10/632,321	SANDA, JOSEPH C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sandra K. Poulos	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2004.				
	action is non-final.	ion is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document:</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document:</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:</li> <li>application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: <u>Renumbered</u>	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,321 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is reference to "figure 1" in the specification, however, the drawing is not labeled "figure 1" as such. Applicant may either label the drawing "figure 1" or correct the specification by referring to "the figure" instead of "figure 1". Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1 and 6 recite improper Markush groups. "Selected from" should be correct to "selected from the group consisting of". Claim 1 also recites ".degree. F"; please correct to "degrees F" (without periods) which is the format usedfor the other claims. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 12 and 13 have been renumbered 11 and 12. Henceforth the renumbered claims are used.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1714

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 7-10 are indefinite because it is unclear what range is meant by "from more than 50% to 10%" or "from less than 50% to 90%". It is assumed that it is meant to be "from less than 50% to 10%" or "from more than 50% to 90%".

Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8 are indefinite because of the relative terms "high" and "low" molecular weight wherein in is unclear what molecular weight ranges each encompasses.

Claim 4 is indefinite because it is not know how much "a small amount" would be.

The remaining claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 102844 in view of Farber et al (US 4,064,922).

EP844 discloses a tubeless pneumatic tire with a puncture sealing laminate (pg 3, lines 1-4). Butyl rubber in 100 parts, 30-100 parts fluid polyisobutylene, and 2-10 parts tackifiers are present in the laminate (pg 6, lines 9-19; pg 7 line 5 to pg 8 line 16). There is 0.5-2 parts of

Art Unit: 1714

sulfur containing curatives (pg 8, lines 6-10). The butyl rubber has a Mooney viscosity of about 15 to 30 (pg 7, lines 19-22). EP844 is silent with respect to fiber, thus it is considered that the composition is fiber free. EP844 does not disclose ground rubber, however, since the current claims recite "up to 5 percent", which includes zero percent, EP844 meets this limitation.

EP844 does not disclose the currently claimed viscosities with respect to the blend and the Brookfield viscosity, that the butyl rubber is high molecular weight, the gel content, or a heat depolymerized natural rubber.

Farber discloses a puncture sealing composition for a tubeless pneumatic tire comprising a fiber free blend of a low molecular weight liquid elastomer and a high molecular weight elastomer wherein the Brookfield viscosity of the liquid rubber is 20,000 to 2,000,000 cps and the Mooney viscosity of the blend is 30-55 and wherein the gel content is 15-60% (col 13-14). The liquid elastomer is heat depolymerized natural rubber, liquid polybutadiene, liquid polybutene, and liquid butyl rubber (col 3, lines 46-55). Among the high molecular weight polymers are butyl rubber (copolymer of isobutylene and minor amounts of isoprene) (col 3, lines 16-45; col 13, lines 51-59). Farber discloses curatives such as tetrahydrocarbyl titanate esters in addition to sulfur curing agents (col 4 line 48 to col 5 line 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a high molecular weight butyl rubber and heat depolymerized liquid natural rubber in the EP844 sealing composition because the combination of polymers have efficient sealing ability when used for a puncture sealing composition (col 3, lines 55-62). The gel content when the depolymerized rubber is used would be between 15 to 60%, which gives desirable sealing ability and lack of flow properties and thus would be obvious to use a gel content between those rangs (col 5, lines 35-50) and to use the titanate curative for optimal gel content (col 5, lines 7-50). Futhermore, it would be obvious to have a viscosity between 30 and55 because under 30 the composition

Art Unit: 1714

flows out of the hole and over 55 and the composition is unusable for practical purposes (col 4, lines 33-40).

6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 102844 in view of Farber et al (US 4,064,922) and further in view of Wong (US 5,856,376).

The discussion with respect to EP844 and Farber in paragraph 5 above is incorporated herein by reference.

EP844 and Farber do not disclose the use of ground rubber in the puncture sealant composition.

Wong discloses a tire puncture sealant containing a mixture of differently sized ground rubbers including 0.5% of 40 mesh ground rubber (abstract; col 3, lines 33-40). The rubber is post-consumer tire material which has been ground up (col 3, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the ground rubber into the composition of EP844/Farber because the mixture of ground rubbers facilitates sealing different sized punctures in tires which would be a benefit to the puncture sealing composition disclosed in EP844/Farber.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 3,981,342; 4,445,562; 5,085,942; 5,232,987; 5,295,525; 6,303,694; 2006/0005909; and JP 58037035 all disclose a sealant for a tire comprising high molecular weight and low molecular weight elastomers.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,321 Page 6

Art Unit: 1714

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra K. Poulos whose telephone number is (571) 272-6428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sandra K. Poulos

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700